

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ful

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/762,483	01/23/2004	Hung-Yeh Du	TAIW 213	4931
RABIN & BER	7590 11/16/200 LDO, P.C.	EXAMINER		
Suite 500			SMITH, CREIGHTON H	
1101 14 Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
<i>5 ,</i>			2614	
	V	·	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		T			
·	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/762,483	DU, HUNG-YEH			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Creighton H. Smith	2614			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE : Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was realized to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
· —	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number:

10/762,483 Art Unit: 2614

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, applicant's phrase "according to said communication terminal's said communication" is vague and indefinite and should be re-written in grammatically correct English. In claims 5, 10, applicant's phrase "receiving a transmitting back voice signal, and combining a transmitting back voice signal and a voice transmitting back voice signal..." is vague and indefinite. In claim 5, there is no antecedent basis for "said step of displaying;" in claim 6 there is no antecedent basis for "said step of through processing transmitting back video signal. In the preamble of claim 10, applicant's phrase "said IP phone through a area network" makes no sense and is not grammatically correct idiomatic English.

The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors.

Applicant should at least re-write the claims conforming to grammatically correct idiomatic English.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States

10/762,483 Art Unit: 2614

only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 8, 9, <u>as understood</u> are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(E) as being Anticipated by Parker et al, U.S. Patent Publication #2004/0125789 or Cupal et al, U.S. Patent Publication #2005/0151833.

For Parker et al, see Figs. 1 & 3 and ¶-0025.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3, 4,10-15, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Parker et al or Chupal et al in view of Wilk, U.S. Patent Publication #2003/0052962.

Wilk discloses in ¶-0038 the initialization of a video signal in a videophone. To have included Wilk's teaching of initializing a videophone's video signal in either Parker's or Cupal's video communication apparatus would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art because a skilled practitioner in the voice and video communications arts would have readily realized that to have included Wilk's initialization would have made common sense, since both references are concerned with videophone communications.

10/762,483 Art Unit: 2614

Claims 6, 7,16, 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Parker et al or Cupal et al in view of Jonsson et al, U.S. Patent Publication #2002/0146000.

Jonsson et al disclose VoIP using wireless terminals, Abstract. In ¶-0025 Jonsson et al disclose a compression module (125) and a decompression module (130), and in ¶-0033 disclose that a videophone is but one example where the compression and decompression modules could be used.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Arita et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Creighton H.

Smith at telephone number 571/272-7546.

09 NOV '07

Creighton H Smith Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2614